Financial institutions Energy Infrastructure, mining and commodities Transport Technology and innovation Life sciences and healthcare



Pharma in brief - Canada

Federal Court of Appeal dismisses appeal of prohibition application for mootness

Case:	Amgen Canada Inc. v Apotex Inc., 2016 FCA 196 (Court File No. A-501-15)
Drug:	NEUPOGEN [®] (filgrastim)
Nature of case:	Motion for dismissal of an appeal from an application for prohibition pursuant to section 6 of the Patented
	Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations, SOR/93-133 (the Regulations)
Successful party:	Apotex Inc.
Date of decision:	July 15, 2016

Summary

Amgen Canada Inc. and Amgen Inc. (collectively, **Amgen**) market and sell filgrastim in Canada under the name NEUPOGEN® for use in the treatment of neutropenia. In November 2015, the Federal Court dismissed Amgen's application for an order prohibiting the Minister of Health from issuing a Notice of Compliance (**NOC**) to Apotex Inc. for its subsequent-entry biologic (or biosimilar) of filgrastim for two dosage strengths (reported <u>here</u>). Apotex received an NOC for one of the dosage strengths in December 2015.

Amgen sought an expedited appeal of the dismissal, and Apotex moved to have the appeal dismissed for mootness.

Apotex argued that the Minister had already issued an NOC to Apotex, and therefore a prohibition order would serve no purpose. Amgen opposed the motion by pointing to Apotex filing a claim for damages pursuant to section 8 of the *Regulations*. Apotex's claim was triggered by the dismissal of Amgen's application. Amgen argued that a reversal of the decision would extinguish Apotex's right to bring this claim, and therefore, as Apotex's section 8 action was live and not a mere possibility, the appeal would have a real and practical effect on the parties' rights.

The Federal Court of Appeal dismissed Amgen's appeal. The court confirmed that an appeal is moot when an NOC has already issued, and held that it should not exercise its discretion to hear the moot appeal. Although the court agreed with Amgen that a live section 8 claim impacts the parties rights "in a real and practical way," this was not adequate to distinguish previous cases. The court confirmed that when a patentee can assert its patent, including in the context of section 8, the pending appeal loses any practical utility and hearing the appeal would serve only to waste scarce judicial resources.

Amgen has sought leave to appeal to the Supreme Court.

Links:

Amgen Canada Inc v Apotex Inc, 2016 FCA 196

Prohibition proceeding: Amgen Canada Inc v Canada (Minister of Health), 2015 FC 1261

For more information, please contact your IP/Life sciences or healthcare practice professional at Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP. For a complete list of our IP team, <u>click here</u>. For a complete list of our Life sciences and healthcare team, <u>click here</u>.

Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright Australia, Norton Rose Fulbright South Africa Inc and Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP are separate legal entities and all of them are members of Norton Rose Fulbright Verein, a Swiss verein. Norton Rose Fulbright Verein helps coordinate the activities of the members but does not itself provide legal services to clients.

References to "Norton Rose Fulbright", "the law firm", and "legal practice" are to one or more of the Norton Rose Fulbright members or to one of their respective affiliates (together "Norton Rose Fulbright entity/entities"). No individual who is a member, partner, shareholder, director, employee or consultant of, in or to any Norton Rose Fulbright entity (whether or not such individual is described as a "partner") accepts or assumes responsibility, or has any liability, to any person in respect of this communication. Any reference to a partner or director is to a member, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications of the relevant Norton Rose Fulbright entity.

The purpose of this communication is to provide general information of a legal nature. It does not contain a full analysis of the law nor does it constitute an opinion of any Norton Rose Fulbright entity on the points of law discussed. You must take specific legal advice on any particular matter which concerns you. If you require any advice or further information, please speak to your usual contact at Norton Rose Fulbright.