

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT

Can smart contracts be legally binding contracts?

An R3 and Norton Rose Fulbright White Paper

Key findings

"Distributed ledger technologies show us how to create cryptographically secured consensus over shared facts, and give us exciting new ways to construct what some have called the golden copy of records. Not only do these technologies promise great savings through the life cycle of financial transactions, but the ability to reach shared consensus serves as a fundamental building block for smart contracts, which will set the scene for the next twenty years of finance. Yet technology solutions alone cannot realize the promise of smart contracts. They must be designed to ensure legal enforceability, with the strength of contractual law, globally, between all our members. I put it to my team to construct a legally defensible global shared record, and they are delivering."

David Rutter, CEO, R3

"Smart contracts in combination with distributed ledger technologies have the potential to automate an extensive array of transactions and services within the financial services sector. Legal compliance can be built into the program logic, providing a way of transacting that maximises operational efficiencies with the potential to reduce legal and regulatory cost and risk."

Sean Murphy, Global head of Blockchain and Distributed Ledgers, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP

Introduction

There is much excitement globally about smart contracts and distributed ledger technologies that support them. Properly funded technology vendors and consortia have emerged which are now able to give tangible expression to that sense of excitement in the form of new and innovative smart contract and distributed ledger products and services.

It has become apparent to us, however, that when industry stakeholders (both buyers of the new technologies and vendors) speak of smart contracts, they can mean very different things. As any contracts lawyer will tell you, words matter. Consistency of language is vital if clear lines of communication are to be achieved in a rapidly evolving industry. What do we mean by a smart contract? Is it smart? Is it a contract? Do lawyers and technologists understand each other when they use these terms?

Recognising the imperative for clarity on these issues, R3 and Norton Rose Fulbright offer this White Paper as a step forward in forging a consensus of understanding between industry stakeholders, lawyers and technologists in relation to smart contracts.

Executive summary

This White Paper assumes the reader will be broadly familiar with smart contracts and distributed ledger technologies. It accordingly provides only a high level summary of both (including permissioned and permissionless systems), before moving on to consider the spectrum of possibilities of what a smart contract could constitute. It then considers whether a smart contract can constitute a legally binding contract under the law of a number key contracting jurisdictions. Finally, it offers up some observations about the practicalities of enforceability and provides some suggestions for dispute resolution within a smart contract context.

Our key findings are:

1. There is a spectrum of possible smart contract models

On the one hand, there are those who promote the "code is contract" approach (that is, that the entirety of a natural language contract can be encoded). On the other, there are those who see smart contracts as consisting of digitising performance of business logic (for example, payment), which may or may not be associated with a natural language contract. In between these two extremes a number of permutations are likely to emerge including, for example, a "split" smart contract model under which natural language contract terms are connected to computer code via parameters (for example, a smart contract template) that feed into computer systems for execution.

2. Legally binding contractual effect depends on a number of variables

It is tempting to conclude that, just because the moniker "smart contract" includes the word contract, it is a legally binding contract as a matter of law. This is not necessarily correct. Whether it is so in a given situation may turn in part on the type of smart contract at issue, the factual matrix within which it operates, and the applicable law determining the issue.

3. There are jurisdictional variations

At the end of this White Paper we set out an analysis of whether smart contracts can give rise to legally binding contractual relations under the laws of a number of key contracting jurisdictions. Our analysis reveals that the answer may vary significantly depending on the jurisdiction.

Common themes

- The electronic nature of contracting is unlikely to be problematic for many (but not all) jurisdictions in relation to establishing contractual formation.
- Certainty as to what constitutes the contractual terms (and whether they are comprehensive enough) is often a critical factor necessary to establish the formation of a legally binding contract in many jurisdictions. Smart contracts that purely digitise a particular process but do not include, or operate in conjunction with, contractual terms (express or implied) may not satisfy such requirements.
- Follow-on contracting (by which a later, separate "follow-on" contract is brought about by performance of an earlier smart contract) may not give rise to a legally enforceable contact in some jurisdictions.
- Other technical requirements of the applicable jurisdiction's law (typically prescribed by legislation) may, in a few jurisdictions, be a potential impediment to rolling out smart contracts that are intended to have legally binding contractual effect.

4. Enforceability should be considered

Where a smart contract has legally binding contractual effect, the technology within which it is deployed may sometimes give rise to problems in relation to legal enforceability (this is particularly so in the case of a so-called "permissionless" distributed ledger). This may be because, for example, there may be no central administering authority to decide a dispute, there may be no obvious defendant, or enforcement of a court judgment or arbitration award in respect of a transaction using particular distributed ledger technologies may be problematic.

5. Dispute resolution mechanisms could address enforceability and jurisdictional variations

Inserting a dispute resolution mechanism into a smart contract may help to address the issues around enforceability and jurisdictional variations identified in this White Paper. Later we suggest some dispute resolution mechanisms that could help to provide a solution.

Many of the problems identified above may also be addressed by choosing a smart contract model that reduces the risk of a court finding that a legally binding contract has not arisen (assuming that is an objective of the parties).

Businesses need to factor issues concerning the legal status of smart contracts into the wider business case for their deployment, and ensure an appropriate legal and regulatory compliance review of the particular smart contract model chosen has been undertaken for the countries in which they are intended to operate.

Sean Murphy Global head of blockchain and distributed ledgers Norton Rose Fulbright, London **Charley Cooper Managing Director** R3, New York

If you would like to receive the full white paper, please contact julie.frizzarin@nortonrosefulbright.com

Contacts - Norton Rose Fulbright and R3

Norton Rose Fulbright

Sean Murphy Global head of blockchain and distributed ledgers, London Tel +44 20 7444 5039 sean.murphy@nortonrosefulbright.com

Nick Abrahams

Partner, Sydney Tel +61 2 9330 8312 nick.abrahams@nortonrosefulbright.com

Marc d'Haultfoeuille

Partner, Paris Tel +33 1 56 59 53 73 marc.dhaultfoeuille@nortonrosefulbright.com

Rohan Isaacs

Director, Johannesburg Tel +27 11 685 8871 rohan.isaacs@nortonrosefulbright.com

Barbara Li Partner, Beijing Tel +86 10 6535 3130 barbara.li@nortonrosefulbright.com

Jamie Nowak

Partner, Munich Tel +49 89 212148 0 jamie.nowak@nortonrosefulbright.com

Robert Percival

Partner, Toronto Tel +1 416 216 4075 robert.percival@nortonrosefulbright.com

Ronald Smith

Partner, Dallas Tel +1 214 855 8349 ron.smith@nortonrosefulbright.com

R3

Charley Cooper Managing Director Tel +1 (917) 885 8529 charley@r3cev.com

Ian Grigg

Consultant Architect Tel +356 7708 2640 iang@r3cev.com

Isabelle Corbett

Senior Counsel & Director, Regulatory Affairs Tel +1 (929) 329 1511 isabelle.corbett@r3cev.com

About Norton Rose Fulbright

Norton Rose Fulbright is a global legal practice. We provide the world's preeminent corporations and financial institutions with a full business law service. We have more than 3800 lawyers and other legal staff based in more than 50 cities across Europe, the United States, Canada, Latin America, Asia, Australia, Africa, the Middle East and Central Asia.

Recognized for our industry focus, we are strong across all the key industry sectors: financial institutions; energy; infrastructure, mining and commodities; transport; technology and innovation; and life sciences and healthcare.

Wherever we are, we operate in accordance with our global business principles of quality, unity and integrity. We aim to provide the highest possible standard of legal service in each of our offices and to maintain that level of quality at every point of contact.

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright Australia, Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP and Norton Rose Fulbright South Africa Inc are separate legal entities and all of them are members of Norton Rose Fulbright Verein, a Swiss verein. Norton Rose Fulbright Verein helps coordinate the activities of the members but does not itself provide legal services to clients.

About R3

R3 is leading a consortium with over 70 of the world's largest financial institutions to develop ground-breaking commercial applications for the financial services industry that leverage the appropriate elements of distributed and shared ledger technology.

Operating in New York, London and San Francisco, the R3 team is made up of financial industry veterans, technologists, and new tech entrepreneurs, bringing together expertise from electronic financial markets, cryptography and digital currencies.

The R3 Lab and Research Centre has quickly become a centre of gravity for collaborative research and testing of distributed and shared-ledger inspired technologies, and is where R3 works with its partners to define, design and deliver the next generation of financial infrastructure.

© Norton Rose Fulbright LLP BDD2151 EMEA 11/16 Extracts may be copied provided their source is acknowledged.

References to 'Norton Rose Fulbright', 'the law firm', and 'legal practice' are to one or more of the Norton Rose Fulbright members or to one of their respective affiliates (together 'Norton Rose Fulbright entity/entities'). No individual who is a member, partner, shareholder, director, employee or consultant of, in or to any Norton Rose Fulbright entity (whether or not such individual is described as a 'partner') accepts or assumes responsibility, or has any liability, to any person in respect of this communication. Any reference to a partner or director is to a member, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications of the relevant Norton Rose Fulbright entity. The purpose of this communication is to provide information as to developments in the law. It does not contain a full analysis of the law nor does it constitute an opinion of any Norton Rose Fulbright entity on the points of law discussed. You must take specific legal advice on any particular matter which concerns you. If you require any advice or further information, please speak to your usual contact at Norton Rose Fulbright.



NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT

Law around the world nortonrosefulbright.com