Financial institutions Energy Infrastructure, mining and commodities Transport Technology and innovation Life sciences and healthcare



Legal Update

Recent news in Colombian labour law

January 2016

¹Decree 17 of 2016 – Procedural rules for convening and incorporating arbitration tribunals.

By Decree 17 of 2016, Chapter 9, which regulates the procedure for convening and incorporating arbitration tribunals in the Ministry of Labour, is added to Title 2, Part 2, Volume 2 of Decree 1072 of 2015.

The main aspects of Decree 17 of 2016 are as follows:

- A written request to convene the arbitration tribunal must be submitted and a number of documents attached.
- The Ministry of Labour will set the dates by which the arbitrators are to be named and the hearing will take place.
- The arbitrators appointed by the parties will then be notified of their obligation to take up their positions and the obligation to designate the third arbitrator.
- If the arbitrators fail to agree on the designation of the third arbitrator the Ministry of Labour will do so.
- Once the three arbitrators have taken up their positions, the Deputy Minister of Labour Relations and Inspection will issue the resolution convening and incorporating the arbitration tribunal.
- In the event that the parties are reluctant to appoint the arbitrators, the Ministry of Labour will appoint them from the list of arbitrators submitted by the Labour Chamber of the Supreme Court.
- Among others, the same decree establishes: (i) impediments and challenges, (ii) disciplinary control and (iii) the use of electronic means.

²Ruling No. 41152 of May 20, 2015, of the Labour Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice – The Supreme Court of Justice provides that employers must take measures to prevent occupational diseases.

By its Ruling No. 41152 of May 20, 2015, the Labour Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice held that the failure of employers to ensure the health of their workers is sufficient to establish violation of the obligation to do so.

In this regard, if the employer fails to implement the necessary means of protection to prevent occupational diseases, the employer may be deemed at fault.

³Ruling No. 59521 of October 20, 2015, of the Labour Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice – The Supreme Court of Justice confirmed that the contributions to social security are based on 30 days regardless of whether fewer days were worked in the month.

By its Ruling No. 59521 of October 20, 2015, the Labour Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice established that contributions to social security are calculated based on a 30-day month, regardless if a month has 28, 29 or 31 days as occurs in some months, and it is over such remuneration that the contributions to the Integral Social Security System must be made.

⁴Ruling No. 54226 of October 20, 2015, of the Labour Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice – Uncertainty about the pension plan to which the worker has been affiliated is no excuse to deny pension contributions.

By its Ruling No. 54226 of October 20, 2015, the Labour Cassation Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice ruled that in the event of delays attributable to the employer regarding employer contributions to the employee's pension plan, the worker is entitled to the employer contributions he/she should have received.

⁵Opinion 238512 2015 of the Ministry of Labour – Proportional maternity leave is applicable when the person has not contributed for a minimum of 11 weeks.

By Opinion 238512 of 2015, the Ministry of Labour confirmed what has been reiterated in several decisions of the Constitutional Court concerning the partial payment of maternity leave.

In this regard, the worker for whom health contributions have been made and whose contributions to the system by her employer are paid up, will be entitled to be paid her proportional maternity leave, regardless of the number of days or weeks paid into the system. Thus, notwithstanding the recognition of the economic benefit deriving from the maternity leave, the General System of Social Security in Health will be responsible, under the conditions that the latter has provided for affiliated persons who are contributors to the contributory regime.

⁶DIAN Opinion 031713 of June 23, 2015 – MLM companies must make payments to the Integral Social Security System.

By Opinion 031713 of June 23, 2015, the DIAN confirmed that multilevel companies must enroll and pay the social security of independent workers who are part of their organizations.

Evidence of this is necessary in order to request the deductibility of expenses when paying income tax, according to Article 108 of the Tax Code.

⁷Ruling No. STO-142832015 of October 15, 2015, of the Criminal Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice – Social benefits cannot be claimed via writs for the protection of constitutional rights.

In its Decision No. STO-142832015 of October 15, 2015, the Criminal Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice ruled that claims related to the following matters shall not, in principle, be claimed by way of an action seeking protection of constitutional rights: the payment of wages, recognition of social benefits, recognition or reassessment of pensions, employer substitution, the reinstatement of workers, and in general all claims arising from the existence of an employment contract.

⁸Opinion No. 219510 of the Ministry of Labour – Base income for calculating contributions to compensate for vacations.

By Concept No. 219510 issued on November 17, 2015, the Labour Ministry reiterated that the amount paid to the worker in lieu of taking vacation shall not be taken into account when calculating the contribution base income for contributions to the Integral Social Security System, since vacations are not deemed salary because they are paid rest periods.

⁹Opinion No. 220644 of the Ministry of Labour – Modification of salary by the employer must have the express consent of the worker.

Opinion No. 220644 of the Ministry of Labour issued on November 18, 2015, confirmed that salary reductions are prohibited and if they occur certain requirements have to be met such as (i) they shall not be less than the minimum wage established by the national government and (ii) there must be consent by the employee.

¹⁰Opinion No. 220192 of the Ministry of Labour – The worker who learns she is pregnant once her contract has ended is entitled to the payment of contributions during her pregnancy.

Opinion No. 220192 of the Ministry of Labour issued on November 17, 2015, reiterated the unified position in Ruling SU 070-2013 of the Constitutional Court, which states that if a pregnant worker learns of her pregnancy after the end of the contract, the ex-worker/mother is entitled to at least the recognition of contributions during her pregnancy, and in the event of a possible discussion regarding just cause of dismissal, this shall be alleged before the ordinary labour judge.

Laura Gaitán Gómez

Footnotes

- ¹ <u>http://www.alcaldiabogota.gov.co/sisjur/normas/Norma1.jsp?i=64469</u>
- ² <u>http://corte-suprema-justicia.vlex.com.co/vid/581284266</u>
- ³ <u>http://www.notinet.com.co/dboletin_07.php?fecha_b=2016-01-26&idinv=335735</u>
- ⁴ <u>http://www.notinet.com.co/dboletin_07.php?fecha_b=2016-01-26&idinv=335735</u>
- ⁵ 238512 Payment proporcional.pdf maternity leave (302.66 kB)
- ⁶ <u>http://accounter.co/normatividad/conceptos/28706-concepto-031713-exigencia-seguridad-social-asociados-empresas-multinivel.html</u>
- ⁷ <u>http://www.notinet.com.co/dboletin_07.php?fecha_b=2016-01-26&idinv=335735</u>
- ⁸ 219,510 Income Base for calculating contributions for vacation compensadas.pdf (298.71 kB)
- ⁹ <u>220644 salarial.pdf deterioration (277.26 kB)</u>
- ¹⁰ <u>220192 Terminación employer knows Contract embarazo.pdf (284.06 kB)</u>

For further information, please contact one of the following lawyers:

> Isabella Gandini	Bogotá	+571 746.4602	lsabella.gandini@nortonrosefulbright.com
> Laura Gaitán Gómez	Bogotá	+571 746.5565	Laura.gaitan@nortonrosefulbright.com

Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright Australia, Norton Rose Fulbright South Africa Inc and Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP are separate legal entities and all of them are members of Norton Rose Fulbright Verein, a Swiss verein. Norton Rose Fulbright Verein helps coordinate the activities of the members but does not itself provide legal services to clients.

References to "Norton Rose Fulbright", "the law firm", and "legal practice" are to one or more of the Norton Rose Fulbright members or to one of their respective affiliates (together "Norton Rose Fulbright entity/entities"). No individual who is a member, partner, shareholder, director, employee or consultant of, in or to any Norton Rose Fulbright entity (whether or not such individual is described as a "partner") accepts or assumes responsibility, or has any liability, to any person in respect of this communication. Any reference to a partner or director is to a member, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications of the relevant Norton Rose Fulbright entity.

The purpose of this communication is to provide general information of a legal nature. It does not contain a full analysis of the law nor does it constitute an opinion of any Norton Rose Fulbright entity on the points of law discussed. You must take specific legal advice on any particular matter which concerns you. If you require any advice or further information, please speak to your usual contact at Norton Rose Fulbright.